# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1a:	Performance of the four different tests (in % of the total cloud cover) as described in section 3.1 (Meteosat-6, day 97171, time 12 UTC)
Figure 1b:	Clouds detected by only one of the tests (in % of the total cloud cover) as described in section 3.1 (Meteosat-6, day 97171, time 12 UTC)
Figure 2:	Cloud analysis results (Meteosat-6, day 97171, time 12 UTC), withGreen- landBlue- oceanDark grey- low-level cloudsLight grey- mid-level cloudsWhite- high-level clouds
Figure 3:	<ul> <li>Total cloud cover for four different sensitivities of SCE on the results of the previous image (Meteosat-6, day 97171), with:</li> <li>run0 - no dependency on the previous image</li> <li>run1 - use of the results of the previous image, if the differences in the VIS and the IR channel is below twice the noise level.</li> <li>run2 - use of the results of the previous image, if the differences in the VIS and the IR channel is below three times the noise level.</li> <li>run3 - use of the results of the previous image, if the differences in the VIS and the IR channel is below three times the noise level.</li> <li>run3 - use of the results of the previous image, if the differences in the VIS and the IR channel is below noise level.</li> </ul>
Figure 4:	Total cloud cover for two different sensitivities of SCE on the results of the previous image (run1 and run 2 as described in figure 3), simulating a failure of the VIS channel in the second image (Meteosat-6, day 97171)
Figure 5a:	Comparison of the cloud detection and analysis results between CLA and the operational MPEF histogram analysis for mid- and high-level clouds (Meteosat-6, day 97171)
Figure 5b:	Comparison of the cloud detection and analysis results between CLA and the operational MPEF histogram analysis for low-level clouds (Meteosat-6, day 97171)
Figure 6:	Cloud analysis results (GOES-8 imager, day 97171, time 17:45 UTC), with the colour scheme as described in figure 2
Figure 7:	Cloud analysis results (GOES-8 sounder, day 97171, time 17:46 UTC), with the colour scheme as described in figure 2



Figure 1a: Performance of the four different tests (in % of the total cloud cover) as described in section 3.1 (Meteosat-6, day 97171, time 12 UTC)



Figure 1b: Clouds detected by only one of the tests (in % of the total cloud cover) as described in section 3.1 (Meteosat-6, day 97171, time 12 UTC)



Figure 2:Cloud analysis results (Meteosat-6, day 97171, time 12 UTC), with<br/>Green - land<br/>Blue - ocean<br/>Dark grey - low-level clouds

Dark grey- low-level cloudsLight grey- mid-level cloudsWhite- high-level clouds



- Figure 3:Total cloud cover for four different sensitivities of SCE on the results of<br/>the previous image (Meteosat-6, day 97171), with:
  - run0 no dependency on the previous image
  - run1 use of the results of the previous image, if the differences in the VIS and the IR channel is below twice the noise level.
  - run2 use of the results of the previous image, if the differences in the VIS and the IR channel is below three times the noise level.
  - run3 use of the results of the previous image, if the differences in the VIS and the IR channel is below noise level.



Figure 4:Total cloud cover for two different sensitivities of SCE on the results of<br/>the previous image (run1 and run 2 as described in figure 3), simulating a<br/>failure of the VIS channel in the second image (Meteosat-6, day 97171)



Figure 5a: Comparison of the cloud detection and analysis results between CLA and the operational MPEF histogram analysis for mid- and high-level clouds (Meteosat-6, day 97171)



Figure 5b: Comparison of the cloud detection and analysis results between CLA and the operational MPEF histogram analysis for low-level clouds (Meteosat-6, day 97171)



Figure 6: Cloud analysis results (GOES-8 imager, day 97171, time 17:45 UTC), with the colour scheme as described in figure 2



Figure 7: Cloud analysis results (GOES-8 sounder, day 97171, time 17:46 UTC), with the colour scheme as described in figure 2